

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/271,411	03/17/1999	M. ALLEN NORTHRUP	22660-0009P1	4121	
20350	7590 09/22/2003				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER		
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FL	ARCADERO CENTER OOR	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H			
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 00/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	7	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary				NORTHRUP ET AL.				
		09/271,411 Examiner		Art Unit	L.			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Ardin Marschel	r sheet with the c	1631 orrespondence add	dress			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reduction period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe exply within the statutory mir d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	5 July 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
·		the application						
7)63	4) Claim(s) 45-55 and 57-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-50,52-55,57,58,60 and 62-70</u> is/are rejected.								
•	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>51, 59, 61, and 71</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) object	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35	i U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived in Applicatio	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6) 6		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC				

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/16/03 has been entered.

NOT ENTERABLE AMENDMENTS

The abstract and amendment to the specification starting on page 5, line 4, could not be entered because of improper form to these amendments. The proper form is amendments indicating insertions and deletions rather than the final form. Also, the abstract must be supplied on its own separate sheet of paper.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/271,411

Art Unit: 1631

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 45-50, 52-55, 57, 58, 60, and 62-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)(2) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Handique et al. (P/N 6,130,098).

This rejection is reiterated and maintained from the previous office action, mailed 1/13/03. Applicants argue that Handique et al. fails to disclose a valve in the device therein described by pointing to various Figures etc. in the reference. In response the valve disclosure was previous pointed to in the reference in column 10, lines 57-65, which was not argued by applicants. Applicants arguments are therefore moot due to not being directed to the basis for the rejection on this claim limitation.

Claims 45-50, 52-55, 57, 58, 60, and 62-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Handique et al. (P/N 6,130,098), taken in view of Wilding et al. (P/N 5,587,128), or, alternatively, Wilding et al. (P/N 5,587,128), taken in view of Handique et al. (P/N 6,130,098).

This rejection is reiterated and maintained from the previous office action, mailed 1/13/03. Applicants argue that neither Handique et al. or Wilding et al. disclose a valve in the device therein described by pointing to various Figures etc. In response the valve

Application/Control Number: 09/271,411 Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

disclosure was previous pointed to in the Handique et al. reference in column 10, lines 57-65, which was not argued by applicants. Applicants' arguments are therefore moot due to not being directed to the basis for the rejection on this claim limitation.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claims 51, 59, 61, and 71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 19, 2003

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EVIZINER